

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/584,281

Applicant(s)

HAGIHARA, JUN

Examiner

MCDIEUNEL MARC

Art Unit

3664

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MCDIEUNEL MARC.

(3) ____.

(2) Child S. Iyer., Reg., No. 43,355.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 02 July 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: ____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Chid S. Iyer, Reg., 43,355 on Wednesday, July 02, 2008. See attached office action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/MM/ /Khoi H. Tran/ 3664 SPE

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required